

FILED

DEC 8 2005

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ALASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
Cannon Dawn Rainey)
Defendant(s).)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
ORDER REGARDING PREPARATION
FOR TRIAL
Case No. AC5-0108-02-CR (JWS)

Shannon Dawn Rainey
B.S. (1991)

Defendant(s):

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: If the defendant has not already done so, but intends to consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge, the defendant shall execute and file the **Consent to Proceed Before the Magistrate Judge** form on or before

1. On or before the 8 day of December, 2005
counsel for the parties shall meet and personally confer at an
agreed time and place, or if an agreement cannot be reached by
counsel, then they shall meet at 1:30 p.m. on the 8 day of
December, 2005, at the office of counsel for the
plaintiff.

The purpose of the conference will be to accomplish on a mutual, reciprocal and voluntary basis all information and

discovery which could be obtained by resorting to formal motions under any applicable rule of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or that has been permitted by a decision of the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, or any United States District Court within the Ninth Circuit; and to openly, meaningfully and candidly discuss the facts, evidence and law pertaining to this case.

2. Within 10 days after the aforementioned conference is held, counsel for plaintiff shall certify to the Court that such a conference was held and the date, time and place of such conference.

3. In order to avoid the frequent serious problems which arise as the result of late filed motions in criminal cases; i.e., motions filed immediately before trial, on or before the 20 day of December, 2005, the parties shall file all appropriate and necessary motions for relief sought under **Rules 7, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 17.1 and 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.**

This court's local criminal rules make several of this court's local civil rules applicable where appropriate. Among them is D.Ak.LR 7.1 pertaining to motion practice. See D.Ak.CR 47.1. That rule contemplates that in the ordinary course of briefing motions there will be a motion, a response and then a reply. The limited time permitted by the Speedy Trial Act for pretrial preparation has led to the adoption of a custom or practice on the court's criminal docket in which reply memoranda are almost never filed in a criminal case. **Pursuant to D.Ak.CR 47.1(c), no reply memoranda**

shall be filed with respect to any motion in this case unless specifically requested by the magistrate judge or the district judge in a subsequent order.


4. That no such motions as noted in paragraph 3 may be filed subsequent to that date without leave of court, and for good cause shown.

5. In preparing for trial, counsel shall timely comply with **D.Ak.LR 39.2, 47.1 and 51.1** in a meaningful manner. That trial of this case shall be conducted in accordance with **D.Ak.LR 39.5**.

6. In order to comply with the time limits of this Court's **Speedy Trial Plan** for achieving prompt disposition of criminal cases, the parties may expect the above-entitled case to be set for trial at Anchorage, Alaska, within 70 days from the filing date (and making public) of the Information/Indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of this court, whichever date last occurs, subject to excludable periods of time as computed pursuant to said plan.

7. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court the time for opposing a pretrial motion listed in paragraph 3, above, shall be five **(5)** days from the date of service upon that party.

DATED this 5 day of December, 2005
at Anchorage, Alaska.


JOHN D. ROBERTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

(Rev 7/05)

405-0108--CR (JWS) On 12-8-05

✓ D. DATTAN (DATTAN)
✓ S. COLLINS (US ATTY)